

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,758	12/04/2001	Donald J. Monroe	10541-636	8286
29074 75	590 06/18/2003			
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611			EXAMINER	
			RODRIGUEZ, PAMELA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		<u>.</u>	3683	-
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\subset$	)	L
	Č	•

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
va va	10/004,758	MONROE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pam Rodriguez	3683				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep		miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		···				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
_	•					
<ul> <li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/004,758

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a starter pinion, classified in class 74, subclass 7R.
  - II. Claims 22-28, drawn to a starter assembly, classified in class 290, subclass 48.
  - III. Claims 29-33, drawn to a method of moving particles from an output shaft with a starter pinion of a drive assembly, classified in class 29, subclass 893.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the starter assembly of the combination can utilize a completely different type of pinion structure. The subcombination has separate utility such as a pinion for an entirely different drive assembly or a pinion in another gearing type system.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Art Unit: 3683

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process can utilize a completely different pinion assembly to perform the same process.

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process can utilize a completely different starter assembly to perform the same process.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A – Figures 1-5

Species B – Figures 1, 7, and 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,758

Art Unit: 3683

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Lawrence Almeda on June 16, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/004,758

Art Unit: 3683

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Pam Rodriguez whose telephone number is 703-308-

3657. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays 6 am -4 pm and Tuesdays 6

am -12 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687

for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

Pam Rodriguez C

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3683

6/16/03

pr

June 16, 2003

Page 5